The Ligament Anatomy of the Deltoid Complex of the Ankle: A Qualitative and Quantitative Anatomical Study
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Background: The deltoid ligament has both superficial and deep layers and consists of up to six ligamentous bands. The prevalence of the individual bands is variable, and no consensus as to which bands are constant or variable exists. Although other studies have looked at the variance in the deltoid anatomy, none have quantified the distance to relevant osseous landmarks.

Methods: The deltoid ligaments from fourteen non-paired, fresh-frozen cadaveric specimens were isolated and the ligamentous bands were identified. The lengths, footprint areas, orientations, and distances from relevant osseous landmarks were measured with a three-dimensional coordinate measurement device.

Results: In all specimens, the tibionavicular, tibiospring, and deep posterior tibiotalar ligaments were identified. Three additional bands were variable in our specimen cohort: the tibiocalcaneal, superficial posterior tibiotalar, and deep anterior tibiotalar ligaments. The deep posterior tibiotalar ligament was the largest band of the deltoid ligament. The origins from the distal center of the intercollicular groove were 16.1 mm (95% confidence interval, 14.7 to 17.5 mm) for the tibionavicular ligament, 13.1 mm (95% confidence interval, 11.1 to 15.1 mm) for the tibiospring ligament, and 7.6 mm (95% confidence interval, 6.7 to 8.5 mm) for the deep posterior tibiotalar ligament. Relevant to other pertinent osseous landmarks, the tibionavicular ligament inserted at 9.7 mm (95% confidence interval, 8.4 to 11.0 mm) from the tuberosity of the navicular, the tibiospring inserted at 35% (95% confidence interval, 33.4% to 36.6%) of the spring ligament’s posteroanterior distance, and the deep posterior tibiotalar ligament inserted at 17.8 mm (95% confidence interval, 16.3 to 19.3 mm) from the posteromedial talar tubercle.

Conclusions: The tibionavicular, tibiospring, and deep posterior tibiotalar ligament bands were constant components of the deltoid ligament. The deep posterior tibiotalar ligament was the largest band of the deltoid ligament.

Clinical Relevance: The anatomical data regarding the deltoid ligament bands in this study will help to guide anatomical placement of repairs and reconstructions for deltoid ligament injury or instability.

Early descriptions of the deltoid ligament anatomy presented general anatomical information regarding its origin on the medial malleolus and insertion on the navicular, talus, and calcaneus. Later qualitative observations introduced the fact that the deltoid ligament was composed of superficial and deep layers, often described as being separated by a fat pad. These initial qualitative observations were later expanded further when reports were published highlighting the presence of multiple ligamentous bands identified as part of the superficial and deep layers.
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The deltoid ligament is a complex structure that spans from the medial malleolus to the navicular, talus, and calcaneus. It is primarily responsible for stabilizing the medial side of the ankle and its functions are to limit anterior, posterior, and lateral translation of the talus and to restrain talar abduction at the talocrural joint. Specifically, the superficial deltoid ligament resists eversion of the hindfoot and the deep deltoid is the primary restraint to external rotation of the talus.

Deltoid ligament pathology has a number of different causes. Medial ankle sprains accounted for 5.1% of the ankle sprains reported in a two-year study of West Point cadets by Waterman et al. The highest prevalence reported was a two-year study of soccer players in the Greek Amateur Association. Of the 139 ankle sprains reported in that study, twenty-two (15.8%) were to the medial ligaments. The superficial and deep layers of the deltoid ligament can consist of up to six individual ligamentous bands. The prevalence of the individual ligamentous bands is variable among individuals, and there is no consensus as to which bands are constant or variable. Further, there is no agreement as to the size and orientation of the ligamentous bands, their spatial relationship to each other, or their distance from osseous landmarks. This anatomical information would help to develop a knowledge base for multiple applications, including biomechanical analyses of the ligamentous bands' functional roles, clinical diagnosis of injured structures on anatomical structures of the ankle including the fibula, syndesmosis, and articular cartilage surfaces of the tibiotalar joint. The majority of deltoid ligament injuries can be treated nonoperatively. However, in patients who develop chronic medial ankle instability, a surgical procedure to repair or to reconstruct the deltoid ligament may be necessary.

The ligament anatomy of the deltoid complex of the ankle.
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**TABLE I Distances of Deltoid Complex Ligaments to Landmarks, Orientation Angles, and Lengths**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Superficial Deltoid Layer</th>
<th>Tibionavicular Ligament</th>
<th>Tibiocalcaneal Ligament</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tibia footprint (origin), distance from inferior tip of medial malleolus Total</td>
<td>16.1 (14.7 to 17.5)</td>
<td>6.0 (4.3 to 7.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior and posterior in relation to sagittal space</td>
<td>Anterior, 13.8 (12.3 to 15.3)</td>
<td>Anterior, 5.5 (3.7 to 7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior and inferior in relation to transverse plane</td>
<td>Superior, 4.9 (3.3 to 6.5)</td>
<td>Inferior, 0.4 (−0.7 to 1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral and medial in relation to coronal plane</td>
<td>Lateral, 5.7 (4.6 to 6.8)</td>
<td>Lateral, 0.6 (0.0 to 1.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Orientation (origin to insertion)

| Anterior and posterior in relation to sagittal space | Anterior, 44.7° (40.8° to 48.6°) | Posterior, 7.0° (3.1° to 10.9°) |
| Superior and inferior in relation to transverse plane | Inferior, 77.4° (73.2° to 81.6°) | Inferior, 69.1° (65.3° to 72.9°) |
| Lateral and medial in relation to coronal plane | Medial, 5.5° (−2.0° to 13.0°) | Lateral, 20.3° (15.6° to 25.0°) |

Navicular footprint (insertion)

| Distance from tuberosity of navicular along talonavicular joint line | 9.7 (8.4 to 11.0) | — |
| Distance distal to talonavicular joint line | 3.4 (2.8 to 4.0) | — |
| Length of tibia footprint center to navicular footprint center | 33.6 (31.6 to 35.6) | — |
| Calcaneus footprint (insertion), distance to posterior point of sustenaculum tali | — | 8.0 (7.0 to 9.0) |
| Length of tibia footprint center to calcaneus footprint center | — | 28.8 (26.3 to 31.3) |
| Spring ligament attachment (insertion), distance to tibiospring ligament insertion from spring ligament centers | — | — |
| Anterior | — | — |
| Posterior | — | — |
| Anterior and posterior | — | — |
| Length of tibia footprint center to spring ligament attachment | — | — |
| Talus footprint (insertion), distance to posteromedial talar tubercle | — | — |
| Length of tibia footprint center to talus footprint center | — | — |
| Talus footprint, distance to anteromedial corner of trochea | — | — |

*The values are given as the mean of fourteen specimens with the parametric 95% CI in parentheses; negative 95% CI values correspond to the opposite anterior and posterior, superior and inferior, and lateral and medial direction.*
magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans, and development of anatomical surgical repairs and reconstruction. Although anatomical repairs and reconstruction are becoming the standard of care and are improving outcomes in other joints, they have not been defined in detail for the deltoid ligament of the ankle.

The purpose of this study was to provide qualitative and quantitative information regarding the origins and insertions of the ligamentous bands of the deltoid ligament and to quantify the prevalence of individual bands. It was hypothesized that for each of the ligamentous bands of the superficial and deep layers of the deltoid ligament, their origins and insertions in relation to pertinent osseous landmarks, spatial relationships to each other, footprint areas, and ligament lengths could be defined. A comprehensive understanding of the anatomical attachment site of the ligamentous bands of the deltoid will assist in guiding medial ankle surgical repair and reconstruction techniques.

**Materials and Methods**

**Specimen Preparation**

Fourteen non-paired, fresh-frozen cadaveric specimens (six female and eight male; eight left and six right), with a mean age of 50.4 years (range, twenty-seven to sixty years), a mean foot length (and standard deviation) of 25.2 ± 2.3 cm (the average adult foot length [and standard deviation] is 24.7 ± 1.1 cm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I (continued)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Superficial Deltoid Layer</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibiospring Ligament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior, 10.8 (8.9 to 12.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior, 6.9 (5.8 to 8.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral, 1.8 (1.1 to 2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior, 8.6° (3.5° to 13.7°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior, 75.6° (71.4° to 79.8°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral, 11.2° (4.8° to 17.6°)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Anatomical Measurements

Following secure fixation of the calcaneus, tibia, and distal metatarsals, three-dimensional positional data of the ligament attachments and osseous landmarks on the disarticulated tibia, fibula, and foot were collected using a coordinate measuring device (MicroScribe MX; GoMeasure3D, Amherst, Virginia). For accurate measurement of the ligament footprints, the individual

---

**Superficial Posterior Tibiotalar Ligament**

- Inferior, 10.8 (8.9 to 12.7)
- Anterior, 6.9 (5.8 to 8.1)
- Lateral, 1.8 (1.1 to 2.5)
- Anterior, 8.6° (3.5° to 13.7°)
- Inferior, 75.6° (71.4° to 79.8°)
- Lateral, 11.2° (4.8° to 17.6°)
- 22.1 (20.3 to 23.9)
- 12.0 (11.1 to 12.9)
- 35.0 (33.4 to 36.6)
- 25.0 (23.2 to 26.8)
- 10.4 (8.9 to 11.9)
- 21.0 (20.0 to 22.1)
- 12.2 (11.1 to 13.4)
Dissection photographs presenting the ligamentous bands (tagged with suture) of the superficial layer of the deltoid ligament in a left ankle. Four ligamentous bands composed the superficial layer of the deltoid ligament in this specimen and included the tibionavicular, tibiospring, tibiocalcaneal, and superficial posterior tibiotalar ligaments. **Fig. 1-A** Anteromedial view of the deltoid ligament with the tibionavicular ligament grasped. **Fig. 1-B** Medial view of the deltoid ligament with the tibiospring ligament grasped. **Fig. 1-C** Medial view of the deltoid ligament with the tibiocalcaneal ligament grasped. **Fig. 1-D** Posteromedial view of the deltoid ligament with the superficial posterior tibiotalar ligament in view.

Dissection photograph showing the deep layer of the deltoid ligament in a left ankle. Two ligamentous bands composed the deep layer in this specimen and included the deep anterior and posterior tibiotalar ligaments.
ligamentous bands were tagged with sutures, were cut at their midsubstance, and were reflected to clearly identify their attachments. The periphery of each ligament attachment site was measured with points collected in approximately 1-mm increments. Data points of pertinent osseous landmarks were also collected. All points were transformed from their respective local coordinate system on the tibia, fibula, and foot to the anatomical ankle joint coordinate system, as described below. From these points, the ligament attachment centers, distances to osseous prominences, ligament footprint areas, and ligament lengths were calculated. Footprint areas were calculated using the Heron formula\(^{28,29}\). All reported measurements were performed by the same individual (K.J.C.) with the senior author’s (T.O.C.) guidance to eliminate interobserver variability.

The anatomical ankle joint coordinate system was based on recommendations by the International Society of Biomechanics (ISB) for the calcaneal coordinate system in neutral stance\(^{30}\). The center of the ankle joint coordinate system was positioned midway between the tip of the lateral and medial malleoli and was orientated with the positive directions pointing superiorly, anteriorly, and laterally. The superior and inferior axis was aligned with a line coincident with the long axis of the tibia and fibula. The ISB recommendations use the tibial plateau to calculate the long axis of the tibia and fibula; however, this plateau was not present in the ankle specimens and therefore circumferential points around the tibial diaphysis were used to calculate the long axis. The anterior and posterior axis was created perpendicular to the superior and inferior axis and a line connecting the medial and lateral malleoli. The lateral and medial axis was then defined perpendicular to the superior and inferior axis and the anterior and posterior axis. All measurements reported in this study were made in the ankle joint coordinate frame.

**System Validation**

The manufacturer (GoMeasure3D) performed a single-point articulation performance test (SPAT) based on the B89.4.22 ASME (American Society of Mechanical Engineers) standard as an assessment of accuracy for the coordinate measuring device used in this study. The SPAT result reflected twice the standard deviation for repeatability of measurements using this device. The average volumetric SPAT result was reported to be ±0.01 mm\(^3\).

**Statistical Methods**

Data were assessed for symmetry and normality and no evidence was found for deviations from normality. Continuous variables were reported as the mean and the parametric 95% confidence interval (95% CI).

**Source of Funding**

No external sources of funding were received for this study.

**Results**

In all fourteen specimens, the deltoid ligament was on the medial ankle deep to the tendons of the posterior tibialis, flexor digitorum longus, and flexor hallucis longus muscles. At initial inspection, the individual bands of the deltoid ligament were contiguous with each other and were almost completely covered by the ligamentous sheaths of the posterior tibialis and flexor digitorum tendons. Fine dissection of the ligamentous sheath layer beneath the posterior tibialis and flexor digitorum tendons allowed for clear visualization of between three and six
individual ligamentous bands of the deltoid, with some interspecimen variability (Figs. 1 and 2) (see Appendix). These bands were consistently separated into superficial and deep layers separated by a thin layer of adipose tissue. The superficial layer crossed the tibiotalar and subtalar joints (Fig. 3), whereas the deep layer only crossed the tibiotalar joint (see Appendix). Figure 4 displays the anatomical attachment sites of each ligament band and their associated footprint area. Qualitative and quantitative descriptions of each ligamentous band of the deltoid are detailed below. Ligament band length, footprint area, and orientation are presented in Table I as mean values and the 95% CIs. We used an anatomical naming system originally proposed by Milner and Soames\(^1\), which named ligamentous bands of the deltoid ligament on the basis of their attachment sites.

*Superficial Layer of the Deltoid Ligament

**Tibionavicular Ligament**

The tibionavicular ligament, identified in all fourteen specimens, was a thin and broad band of tissue whose fibers comprised the most anterior aspect of the superficial deltoid layer. The ligament originated on the anterior colliculus of the medial malleolus and inserted in an expansive manner onto the dorsomedial surface of the navicular immediately anterior to the talonavicular articular cartilage border (see Appendix). The tibia footprint was 16.1 mm (95% CI, 14.7 to 17.5 mm) from the distal center of the intercollicular groove, with a superior aspect of 4.9 mm (95% CI, 3.3 to 6.5 mm) and an anterior aspect of 13.8 mm (95% CI, 12.3 to 15.3 mm). The navicular footprint was 9.7 mm (95% CI, 8.4 to 11.0 mm) from the tuberosity of the navicular along the talonavicular joint line and 3.4 mm (95% CI, 2.8 to 4.0 mm) distal to the talonavicular joint line (Table I).

**Tibiospring Ligament**

The tibiospring ligament was identified in all fourteen specimens and was a flat and broad consolidation of ligament fibers. Its tibial attachment was slightly proximal and posterior to the tibionavicular ligament, and it was consistently the most superficial band of the deltoid ligament. It originated on the anterior tibial colliculus and inserted onto the plantar calcaneonavicular (spring) ligament at 35% (95% CI, 33.4% to 36.6%) of its posteroanterior distance (see Appendix). Its insertion width at the plantar calcaneonavicular ligament junction was 5.9 mm (95% CI, 5.1 to 6.7 mm). The tibia footprint was 13.1 mm (95% CI, 11.1 to 15.1 mm) from the distal center of the intercollicular groove, with a superior aspect of 10.8 mm (95% CI, 8.9 to 12.7 mm) (Table I).
Tibiocalcaneal Ligament

The tibiocalcaneal ligament, identified in eleven (79%) of fourteen specimens, attached near the intercollicular groove of the medial malleolus and attached at the most posterior aspect of the sustenaculum tali on the calcaneus, immediately posterior to the spring ligament’s insertion on the sustenaculum tali (Fig. 5).

The tibia footprint was 6.0 mm (95% CI, 4.3 to 7.7 mm) from the distal center of the intercollicular groove, with an inferior aspect of 0.4 mm (95% CI, −0.7 to 1.5 mm) and an anterior aspect of 5.5 mm (95% CI, 3.7 to 7.3 mm). On the calcaneus, it attached on the posterior border of the sustenaculum tali at 8.0 mm (95% CI, 7.0 to 9.0 mm) from the posterior point of the sustenaculum tali (Table I).

Superficial Posterior Tibiotalar Ligament

The superficial posterior tibiotalar ligament was identified in eleven (79%) of fourteen specimens and was the most posterior ligament of the superficial layer of the deltoid ligament. The ligament center originated near the distal center of the intercollicular groove and attached onto the posteroinferior medial talar body, anterosuperior to the posteromedial talar tubercle (Fig. 5).

The tibia footprint was 3.5 mm (95% CI, 3.0 to 4.0 mm) from the distal center of the intercollicular groove, with an inferior aspect of 1.1 mm (95% CI, 0.4 to 1.8 mm) and a posterior aspect of 0.2 mm (95% CI, −1.2 to 1.6 mm). The talus footprint was 10.4 mm (95% CI, 8.9 to 11.9 mm) anterosuperior to the posteromedial talar tubercle (Table I).

Deep Layer of the Deltoid Ligament

Deep Anterior Tibiotalar Ligament

The deep anterior tibiotalar ligament was identified in thirteen (93%) of fourteen specimens and was located immediately deep to the tibionavicular and tibiospring ligaments of the superficial deltoid layer. This short ligament originated at the most inferior and anterior areas of the medial malleolus and inserted onto the anterosuperior portions of the medial talus body immediately inferior to the articular cartilage of the trochlea (Fig. 6).

The tibia origin was 11.1 mm (95% CI, 9.6 to 12.6 mm) from the distal center of the intercollicular groove, with an inferior aspect of 3.6 mm (95% CI, 2.8 to 4.4 mm) and an anterior aspect of 9.0 mm (95% CI, 7.4 to 10.6 mm). Its talus insertion was 12.2 mm (95% CI, 11.1 to 13.4 mm) posteroinferior to the anteromedial corner of the trochlea (Table I).
Deep Posterior Tibiotalar Ligament

The deep posterior tibiotalar ligament was identified in all fourteen specimens and was located deep to the tibiocalcaneal and superficial posterior tibiotalar ligaments. It was consistently the largest and thickest band of the superficial and deep layers. The deep posterior tibiotalar ligament originated near the distal center of the intercollicular groove and inserted on the posterosuperior aspect of the medial talar body inferior to the articular cartilage of the trochlea (Fig. 6).

The tibia footprint was 7.6 mm (95% CI, 6.7 to 8.5 mm) from the distal center of the intercollicular groove, with an inferior aspect of 2.2 mm (95% CI, 1.3 to 3.1 mm) and a lateral aspect of 6.4 mm (95% CI, 5.5 to 7.3 mm). Its talar attachment center was 17.8 mm (95% CI, 16.3 to 19.3 mm) anterosuperior to the posteromedial talar tubercle (Table I).

Discussion

We provide a detailed quantitative and qualitative assessment of the deltoid ligament. Through meticulous dissection and measurement, we confirmed our hypothesis and presented an anatomical description of the ligamentous bands of the superficial and deep ligamentous layers of the deltoid ligament, their origins and insertions in relation to pertinent osseous landmarks, their spatial relationships to each other, their footprint areas, and their ligament lengths. The most salient findings in this study were that the deep posterior tibiotalar ligament was the largest band of the deltoid ligament and that three constant ligamentous bands were found in all specimens and included the tibionavicular, tibiospring, and deep posterior tibiotalar ligaments. These ligament band data advance our understanding of the deltoid ligament and should aid in the development of anatomical repair and reconstruction guidelines, biomechanical studies that investigate their individual functional roles, and diagnosis of injury on MRI scans.

Surgery is often performed on the injured deltoid ligament. Patients with large or complete tears that fail to heal primarily and who develop chronic medial ankle instability will often undergo a surgical repair or reconstruction of the deltoid ligament. Concurrent injury to the deltoid ligament occurs in up to 83% of lateral ankle ligament injuries and may occasionally require surgical repair. In patients with posterior tibial tendon rupture or pathology, insufficiency of this dynamic
stabilizer can lead to failure of the deltoid ligament requiring repair\textsuperscript{19}. Similarly, posterior tibial tendon reconstruction often requires contemporaneous surgical correction of the deltoid and spring ligament complexes\textsuperscript{24,25,27}. Finally, in patients undergoing total ankle arthroplasty, attention must be paid to the integrity of the deltoid ligament to achieve proper ligamentous balance\textsuperscript{28}.

The most important ligamentous bands of the deltoid ligament to repair or to reconstruct are not currently known. Haddad et al. reported on reconstruction of the tibiocalcaneal and deep posterior tibiotalar ligaments\textsuperscript{29}. Various other autograft and allograft reconstruction techniques have been reported with little reference to the anatomical bands\textsuperscript{30,31,32,33}. The paucity of data regarding the exact origins and insertions of the ligamentous bands of the deltoid ligament may have contributed to the less reliable results of secondary repairs or reconstructions for the deltoid ligament complex compared with lateral ankle ligament procedures. This study provides further information for repair and reconstruction procedures by showing the relative size of the ligamentous bands and their spatial relationships to each other and to osseous landmarks.

Several publications in recent years have described the prevalence of each of the bands of the deltoid ligament complex and, to a limited extent, some quantitative information including the ligament band lengths and orientations\textsuperscript{31,32,33,34,35,36,37}. The results of these studies in comparison with the findings in the current study are presented in the Appendix\textsuperscript{32,33,34,35,36,37}. A major limitation of these previous studies was that the physical locations of the ligament insertion sites were not described or were not referenced from surgically relevant landmarks. This information is imperative for incorporating the findings into surgical practice for anatomically based treatment. This limitation was overcome in the present study by presenting quantitative data in reference to the distal center of the intercollicular groove, tuberosity of the navicular, posteroomedial talar tubercle, and posterior point of the sustentaculum tali that will enable accurate re-creation of the normal anatomy during repair and reconstructive procedures. A major strength of this study is that it shows the qualitative and quantitative morphology of the highly complex deltoid ligament and provides anatomical illustrations with distances from ligament band attachment centers to surgically relevant osseous landmarks. In addition, our measurement technique used a highly precise measuring device that captured the three-dimensional distance relationships among the ligamentous and osseous structures of interest. Lastly, the average foot length (and standard deviation) of the specimens in this cohort was 25.2 ± 2.3 cm, which is matched to the average adult foot length (and standard deviation) of 24.7 ± 1.1 cm\textsuperscript{17}.

We acknowledge some limitations of this study. First, fourteen ankles were included and our results may not have been wholly inclusive of the population variability. Our cohort was smaller than those used in the previous investigations by Milner and Soames\textsuperscript{24}, whose study included forty specimens, and Siegler et al.\textsuperscript{25}, whose study included twenty specimens. Second, the inherent contiguous nature of the ligamentous bands of the deltoid ligament may have introduced some variability during identification of the individual bands. We tried to avoid this limitation by meticulously dissecting the ligamentous joint capsule layer to clearly visualize the fiber direction and attachment sites. Lastly, our study cohort average age was 50.4 years. Although each ankle was screened for major degenerative changes or deformities and specimens were excluded if they had a history of ankle instability or surgical procedures, the anatomical findings in this group may not be matched to the findings present in a younger or older population.

In conclusion, in all specimens, the tibiocalcaneal, tibiospring, and deep posterior tibiotalar ligaments were identified. Three additional ligamentous bands were a variable finding in our specimen cohort: the tibiocalcaneal, superficial posterior tibiotalar, and deep anterior tibiotalar ligaments. The detailed information presented in the current study will help guide biomechanical testing, diagnosis of injuries on MRI scans, and development of anatomical repair and reconstruction procedures of the deltoid ligament.

Appendix

Figures showing medial views of a left ankle with regard to the attachment positions and sites of the superficial layer of the deltoid ligament, the deep layer of the deltoid ligament and the anatomical attachment sites of the deep anterior and posterior tibiotalar ligaments, and the anatomical attachment sites of the tibiospring ligament on the tibia and spring ligament as well as tables showing comparisons between the literature and the current study with regard to the prevalence of individual bands of the deltoid ligament and the deltoid ligament individual band lengths are available with the online version of this article as a data supplement at jbjs.org.
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